

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re application of:

Confirmation No. 1778

Benny Souder, et al.
(Appellants)

Examiner: Jean B. Fleurantin

Group Art Unit No.: 2162

Serial No.: 10/718,747

Filed: November 21, 2003

For: AUTOMATIC AND DYNAMIC PROVISIONING OF DATABASES

Mail Stop Appeal Brief – Patents / via EFS

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPELLANTS' REPLY APPEAL BRIEF

Sir:

Applicants/Appellants have reviewed the Examiner's answer mailed May 30th, 2008.

Appellant has no further comment regarding the substance of the Examiner's arguments.

Appellant however wishes to clarify a statement in the Appeal Brief. On page 6, last paragraph,

Appellant states with respect to claim 18, that "For reasons similar to claim 18, the cited art fails to ... describe all the features of claim 18". What Appellant meant was for reasons similar to claim 1, the cited art fails to expressly or inherently describe all the features of claim 18.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP

Dated: June 5, 2008

/MarcelKBingham#42327/

Marcel K. Bingham
Reg. No. 42,327

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550
San Jose, California 95110-1089
Tel: (408) 414-1080 x206
Fax: (408) 414-1076